

Information
Agency

Washington, D.C. 20547

OS REGISTRY

87-1656X



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

December 3, 1987

Jane
Dear Mr. Schwartz:

The entire text is classified Confidential.

I have reviewed the final draft of the Non-Fraternization/Contact Reporting Policy which you distributed to the OSPG on November 24, and concur with its contents. I am pleased to see that the expression of the policy has changed dramatically from one of confrontation, as stated in the previous proposal of August 19, 1987, to the present tone of reasonableness. Especially gratifying is the incorporation of our proposals of September 4, 1987, into the present policy, either through paraphrasing or direct quote.

There are two minor points which I would like to address. First, Section II (SCOPE) of the final draft, which quotes the same section of the original draft, states that the policy is applicable to American contractors and Foreign Service National Employees, "assigned to the missions not included in Appendices A and B." For the sake of simplicity and clarity, perhaps this portion of the policy should be published separately (or locally, as we recommended) as (1) it appears to be out of context in this document and (2) the local RSO may wish to append additional conditions or requirements peculiar to his/her post. However, I regard the foregoing as merely an observation, rather than a reason to delay publication.

Mr. Louis Schwartz
Chairman
Overseas Security Policy Group
U.S Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20520

CLASSIFIED BY Bernard C. Dowling
OFFICE SYMBOL M/S
DECLASSIFY (OR DOWNGRADE) ON:
ORIGINATING AGENCY DETERMINATION
REQUIRED (OADR)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONFIDENTIAL

-2-

I realize, Lou, that detailed comments on the Non-Frat policy are not required if the document is acceptable. However, I do feel it as appropriate to comment on the positive approach taken in this effort as I did to point out the negative aspects of the original draft.

Secondly, you will recall that in our September 4 comments we recommended inclusion of a provision that, "CI investigations or other activities concerning non DOS personnel will only be initiated after consultation with the parent agency." Had conditions within DS/CI not changed in the interim, I would feel compelled to insist that that provision be included in the final document. However, after having met with Ray Mislock I feel reassured that the spirit of that provision is now mutually understood and that it need not be reduced to writing.

Sincerely yours,



Bernard C. Dowling
Director
Office of Security

DISTRIBUTION:

Mr. Mark E. Mulvey, Diplomatic Security
[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency ✓
[redacted] Defense Intelligence Agency
Mr. C.M. Flannery, Agency for International Development
Dr. Jerry Rubino, Department of Justice
[redacted] National Security Agency
Robert A. McMenamin, Department of Treasury
Mr. Michael Cassetta, Department of Commerce
Mr. Raymond Salazar, Federal Aviation Administration

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL